

# PhD Proposal

---

Measurement of the Polarized Valence Quark  
Distribution Functions using Polarized  
Proton and Deuteron Targets

Tamar Didberidze


# Outline

---



- Motivation
- Physics
- Experimental Setup
- Prelim Results
- Conclusions

# Motivation

- “The proton spin crisis”
- Semi-Inclusive Double Spin Asymmetry Measurement
- Extraction of  $(\Delta d/d)$  observable
- The perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics(pQCD) vs the hyperfine perturbed Constituent Quark Model(CQM)




# Deep Inelastic Scattering vs $Q^2$




---

$Q^2$  - Four Momentum Transferred Squared,  $d = (0.2 \text{ GeV} \times \text{fm})/Q$

# Valence Quark Region



# Semi Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering(SIDIS) Diagram



Fragmentation function

$$\frac{d^3\sigma_{1/2(3/2)}^h}{dx dQ^2 dz} \approx \sum_q e_q^2 q^{+(-)}(x, Q^2) D_q^h(z, Q^2)$$

# Semi Inclusive Double Spin Asymmetry

$$A_1^h = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}^h - \sigma_{3/2}^h}{\sigma_{1/2}^h + \sigma_{3/2}^h}$$


$$A_{1,p}^{\pi^+ \pm \pi^-} = \frac{4\Delta u_v(x) \pm \Delta d_v(x)}{4u_v(x) \pm d_v(x)}$$

$$A_{1,2H}^{\pi^+ \pm \pi^-} = \frac{\Delta u_v(x) + \Delta d_v(x)}{u_v(x) + d_v(x)}$$

Semi Inclusive deep inelastic scattering provides an opportunity to determine the struck quark flavor.

# The CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer at JLab

- Polarized electron beam
- Polarized targets
- Superconducting toroid magnet
- Drift chambers
- Cherenkov counter
- Electromagnetic calorimeter




# Target Materials

- Frozen ammonia: the polarized proton and neutron
- For background elimination: C12, liquid Helium and Nitrogen
- Polarized using the Dynamic Nuclear Polarization(DNP) Method
- ~96% and ~46% polarization for the proton and neutron targets




# Drift Chambers

- The trajectory of the charged particle and momentum
- Three regions
- ArCO<sub>2</sub> (90/10%) - gas mixture
- The drift time and drift velocity




# Cherenkov Detector

- The threshold detector
- Differentiate electrons from pions
- Gas – C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>10</sub>  
(n=1.00153, high photon yield)
- Thresholds: 9 MeV for electrons and 2.5 GeV for pions




# The CLAS TOF Scintillators

- 288 scintillators
- The time of flight for charged particle
- Coincidence for charged particles
- 120ps – 250ps time resolution
- 30 cm to 450 cm long




# The CLAS Calorimeter

- 8 electromagnetic calorimeter modules
- Measures the total energy deposited by the crossing particle
- Neutron detection, efficiency $>50\%$  for  $E_n>0.5\text{GeV}$
- Electron detection above 0.5 GeV
- Photon detection above 0.2 GeV



# Kinematics of the exclusive single pion electroproduction

- The virtual photon negative four-momentum transferred squared
- Invariant mass of the photon-nucleon system
- The polar angle of the outgoing pion in CMF
- The azimuthal angle of the outgoing pion in CMF
- The scattered electron angle




$$\frac{d(W, Q^2)}{d(E_f, \cos\theta_e)} = \frac{2M_p E_i E_f}{W}$$


$$\frac{\partial^5 \sigma}{\partial E_f \partial \Omega_e \partial \Omega_{\pi}^*} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum L_{int} A_{cc} \epsilon_{CC} \Delta W \Delta Q^2 \Delta \cos\theta_{\pi}^* \Delta \phi_{\pi}^* \frac{d(W, Q^2)}{d(E_f, \cos\theta_e)}$$

# Particle Identification Using Electromagnetic calorimeter

Before EC Cuts




After EC Cuts




Cuts on the energy deposited in the electromagnetic calorimeter ( $E_{\text{total}} > 0.2 * p$  and  $E_{\text{inner}} > 0.06 * p$ )

# Pion Removal From The Electron Sample Using Cherenkov Counter



The pion contamination in electron sample is  $\sim 9.6\%$ , and for  $\text{NPHE} > 2.5 \sim 4.03\%$


# Data Comparison



$\varphi_{\pi}^*$  vs Relative rate for fixed  $\cos \theta_{pion}^{CM} = 0.5$  and  $W = 1.45G$

K. Park. (The CLAS Collaboration). *Phys. Rev.*, **C77**, 015208 (2008).

# The Expected Precision of This Analysis



The ratio of polarized to unpolarized valence down quark distribution function vs  $X_{Bj}$

# Future Plans

---

- Measure asymmetries using the knowledge of the probe and target's polarization state
- The double spin asymmetries
- About three data points will be extracted from this analysis