CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF SOME ENTROPY CHARACTERIZATIONS

<u>Vesselin I. Dimitrov</u> Idaho Accelerator Center, Idaho State University Pocatello, ID 83209, USA dimivess@isu.edu

Abstract

I offer a critical revision of a number of prominent published characterizations of the Shannon's entropy and relative entropy

$$S(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \ln p_k$$
$$S[p,q] = \int dx p(x) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$

as the unique functionals to be used for inductive reasoning in the context of Jaynes' principle of Maximum Entropy. In particular, Shannon's[1], Tikochinsky, Tishby and Levine (TTL's)[2], Shore and Johnoson's[3], and Paris and Vencovska's[4] characterizations are shown to possess either logical or technical flaws. Moreover, Lesche's stability argument against Renyi's entropies[5] is refuted on the grounds of using inadequate criterion for continuity. The Karbelkar's[6] and Uffink's[7] objections to some of the above characterizations are also criticized, although their conclusion is shown to stand - namely, the narrowest class of entropies singled out by reasonable requirements either on them as functionals, or on the resulting inference process, is that of the Renyi's relative entropies.

References:

- [1] C.E. Shannon; The Bell System Techn. Journ. 27, 379 (1948).
- [2] Y. Tikochinsky, N.Z. Tishby, R.D. Levine; Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1357 (1984).
- [3] J.E. Shore, R.W.Johnson; IEEE Trans. Information Theory 26, 26 (1980).
- [4] J.B. Paris, A. Vencovska; Intl. Journ. Approx. Reasoning 17, 77 (1997).
- [5] B. Lesche; *Phys. Rev E* **E70**, 017102 (2004).
- [6] S.N. Karbelkar; Pramana J. Phys. 26, 301 (1986).
- [7] J. Uffink; Stud. Hist. Phil. Mod. Phys. 26, 223 (1995).

Key Words: Shannon entropy, inductive reasoning