

PhD Proposal

Measurement of Polarized Valence Quark
Distribution Functions using Polarized
Proton and Deuteron Targets


Tamar Didberidze

Outline

- Motivation
- Physics
- Experimental Setup
- Prelim Results
- Conclusions

Motivation

- Semi-Inclusive Double Spin Asymmetry Measurement
- Extraction of $(\Delta d/d)_v$ observable
- The perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics(pQCD) vs the hyperfine perturbed Constituent Quark Model(CQM)



The Standard Model

- The theory of the three fundamental interactions
- Three kinds of elementary particles
- 12 – leptons, 36 – quarks, 4 – force mediators

Three Generations of Matter (Fermions)

	I	II	III	
mass→	2.4 MeV	1.27 GeV	171.2 GeV	0
charge→	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0
spin→	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
name→	u up	c charm	t top	γ photon
	4.8 MeV	104 MeV	4.2 GeV	0
	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	0
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
	d down	s strange	b bottom	g gluon
	<2.2 eV	<0.17 MeV	<15.5 MeV	91.2 GeV
	0	0	0	0
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
	ν_e electron neutrino	ν_μ muon neutrino	ν_τ tau neutrino	Z⁰ weak force
	0.511 MeV	105.7 MeV	1.777 GeV	80.4 GeV
	-1	-1	-1	± 1
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
	e electron	μ muon	τ tau	W[±] weak force


Bosons (Forces)

Quantum Chromodynamics vs Constituent Quark Model

- Valence, sea quarks and gluons
- Asymptotic Freedom
- Confinement



- Baryon – qqq
- Meson – quark-antiquark
- Quark confinement

The effective strong coupling




Distance (fm)

Deep Inelastic Scattering vs Q^2




Q^2 - Four Momentum Transferred Squared, $d=(0.2\text{GeV} \times \text{fm})/Q$

Valence Quark Region



$X_{bj} > 0.3$

Semi Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering (SIDIS) Diagram



$$\frac{d^3 \sigma_{1/2(3/2)}^h}{dx dQ^2 dz} \approx \sum_q e_q^2 q^{+(-)}(x, Q^2) D_q^h(z, Q^2)$$

Semi Inclusive Double Spin Asymmetry

$$A_1^h = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}^h - \sigma_{3/2}^h}{\sigma_{1/2}^h + \sigma_{3/2}^h}$$

$$A_{1,p}^{\pi^+ \pm \pi^-} = \frac{4\Delta u_v(x) \pm \Delta d_v(x)}{4u_v(x) \pm d_v(x)}$$

$$A_{1,2H}^{\pi^+ \pm \pi^-} = \frac{\Delta u_v(x) + \Delta d_v(x)}{u_v(x) + d_v(x)}$$

Semi Inclusive deep inelastic scattering provides and opportunity to determine the struck quark flavor.

Polarized and Unpolarized Structure Functions

$$A(x, Q^2) = \frac{g_1(x)}{F_1(x)}$$

Asymmetry

Unpolarized structure function


$$F_1(x) = M_h W_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 q(x)$$

Polarized structure function

$$g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 (q^+(x) - q^-(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 \Delta q(x)$$

The CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer at JLab

- Polarized electron beam
- Polarized targets
- Superconducting toroid magnet
- Drift chambers
- Cherenkov counter
- Electromagnetic calorimeter




Target Materials

- Frozen ammonia: the polarized proton and neutron
- For background elimination: C12, liquid Helium and Nitrogen
- Polarized using the Dynamic Nuclear Polarization(DNP) Method
- ~96% and ~46% polarization for the proton and neutron targets




Drift Chambers

- The trajectory of the charged particle
- Three regions
- ArCO₂ (90/10%) - gas mixture
- The drift time and drift velocity




Cherenkov Detector

- The threshold detector
- Differentiate electrons from pions
- Gas – C_4F_{10}
($n=1.00153$, high photon yield)
- Thresholds: 9 MeV for electrons and 2.5 GeV for pions




The CLAS TOF Scintillators

- 288 scintillators
- The time of flight for charged particle
- Coincidence for charged particles
- 120ps – 250ps time resolution
- 30 cm to 450 cm long




The CLAS Calorimeter

- 8 electromagnetic calorimeter modules
- Measures the total energy deposited by the crossing particle
- Neutron detection
- Electron detection above 0.5 GeV
- Photon detection above 0.2 GeV



Kinematics of single pion electroproduction

- The virtual photon negative four-momentum transferred squared
- Invariant mass of the photon-nucleon system
- The polar angle of the outgoing pion in CMF
- The azimuthal angle of the outgoing pion in CMF
- The scattered electron angle




$$\frac{d(W, Q^2)}{d(E_f, \cos\theta_e)} = \frac{2M_p E_i E_f}{W}$$


$$\frac{\partial^5 \sigma}{\partial E_f \partial \Omega_e \partial \Omega_\pi^*} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Sigma \frac{1}{L_{int} A_{cc} \epsilon_{CC} \Delta W \Delta Q^2 \Delta \cos\theta_\pi^* \Delta \phi_\pi^*} \frac{d(W, Q^2)}{d(E_f, \cos\theta_e)}$$

Particle Identification Using Electromagnetic calorimeter

Before EC Cuts





After EC Cuts




Cuts on the energy deposited in the electromagnetic calorimeter ($EC_{total} > 0.2 * p$ and $EC_{inner} > 0.06 * p$)

Pion Removal From The Electron Sample Using Cherenkov Counter




The pion contamination in electron sample is \sim 9.6 %, and for NPHE>2.5 \sim 4.03 %

Data Comparison



$\phi_{\pi^+}^*$ vs Relative rate for fixed $\cos \theta_{pion}^{CM} = 0.5$ and $W = 1.45 GeV$

The Expected Precision of This Analysis



The ratio of polarized to unpolarized valence down quark distribution function vs X_{Bj}

Future Plans

- Measure asymmetries using the knowledge of the probe and target's polarization state
- The double spin asymmetries
- About three data points will be extracted from this analysis