Neutron detector efficiency defined by the relative neutron yield in the deuteron photodisintergation prosess.



Fig. 1. Total cross section of H-2(γ , absorption). ENDF /B-VII.1.



Fig. 2. Bremsstrahlung spectrum form Aluminium with end point energy 10.5 MeV [by Dr. Dale]



Fig. 3. Total crossection of H-2(γ , absorption) reaction weighted by the bremsstrahlung spectrum (green curve).



Fig. 4. Neutron energy vs. photon energy in the lab frame calculated using kinematics of the photodisintegration reaction.

 $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega} = A_{\gamma} + B_{\gamma} \sin^2(\theta) + C_{\gamma} \sin^2(\theta) \cos(\theta) + D_{\gamma} \sin^2(\theta) \cos^2(\theta)$ E1, E2, M1 multipolarities are included [*]



Fig. 5a. Coefficient A_{γ.} [**] F. Partovi, Ann. Phys. 27, 79 (1964)





Fig. 5d. Coefficient D_{γ} [**]

Eg, MeV

Partovi's coefficients after fitting





FIG. 7. Differential cross section at a c.m. angle of $90 \pm 1^{\circ}$. The errors shown are statistical only.

[*] D. M. Skopik, Y. M. Shin, M. C. Phenneger, J. J. Murphy, *Photodisintegration* of deuteron determined from the electrodisintegration process, Phys. Rev. C, Vol. 9, 2, February 1974.



Fig. 8. Reproduction of the data plotted in Fig. 7 using fitted Partovi's coefficients.



Fig. 9. Differential crossection of photodisintgration obtained with fitted coefficients form Patrovi's paper.

[***] V. P. Likhachev, M. N. Martins, Yu. A. Kasatkin, M. T. F. da Cruz, J. D. T. Arruda-Neto, R. Guarino, V. B. Shostak, *Disintegration of the Deuteron by Tagged, Linearly-Polarized Photons: Sensitivity of the Differential Cross Sections*, Braz. J. Phys. vol. 27 no. 3 São Paulo Sept. 1997 **Fig. 10.** Differential crossection of photodisintgration around the reaction threshold [***].





Fig. 11. Relative neutron yield for each of the neutron detectors obtained by weighting the neutron spectra with flux weighted total disintegration crossection and solid angles. $N_{detected}^{Deti} = N_{incident}^{Deti} \cdot \epsilon_i$

$$N_{detected}^{Det j} = N_{incident}^{Det j} \cdot \epsilon_{j}$$

$$\frac{N_{incident}^{Det\,i}}{N_{incident}^{Det\,j}} = \frac{Area_i}{Area_j}$$

$$\frac{N_{detected}^{Deti}}{N_{detected}^{Detj}} = \frac{Area_i}{Area_j} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_j}{\epsilon_j}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon_i}{\epsilon_j} = \frac{N_{detected}^{Det i}}{N_{detected}^{Det j}} \cdot \frac{Area_j}{Area_i} = k_i$$

 $\epsilon_i = k_{ij} \cdot \epsilon_j$

The absolute efficiency of Det E was measured to be $\epsilon_E = 14\%$, hence the rest of the efficiencies can be found.

- Partovi's calculation works well in the photon energy region above threshold value of photon energy in the photodisintegration reaction of D2.
- Different model describing differential cross section of D2 photodisintegration reaction near threshold value is needed.
- The efficiency can be defined once the differential cross section is known.