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## 1 Motivation

The HRRL is an S-band electron linac providing pulsed beams with energies between 3 MeV and 16 MeV . The maximum repetition rate is 1.2 kHz , the maximum peak current is 80 mA , and the minimum pulse length is 25 ns .

The HRRL laboratory is located in the basement of the Physical Science Building. This lab consists of a shielded accelerator cell and an experimental area. Currently, a $\sim 4$-m beamline with a 90-degree bend delivers electron and photon beams to the experimental area.
This project has two main goals: (a) to improve the quality of electron and photon beams in the HRRL lab; (b) to build a positron source for the IAC which could serve as a prototype for the CEBAF machine at Jefferson Lab [1].

For this project, we envision moving the HRRL machine and building a $6-\mathrm{m}$ beamline with two 45 -degree bends (Fig. 1). Specifically, we want to achieve the following:

- measure the properties of the $e^{-}$beam;
- provide a test stand for positron production targets;
- provide space for testing positron collection systems;
- measure the properties of the outcoming $e^{+}$beam;
- retain the capability to deliver photon beams produced in a secondary target downstream of the second bend.

The electron beam properties include intensity, position, profile, and momentum distribution. The intensity will be measured with a current transformer at the exit port of the HRRL cross-calibrated with a Faraday cup downstream of the 0 -degree port of the first bend. The beam profile can be inferred from the image on a fluorescent screen placed upstream of the production target. For the momentum distribution, we need to provide slits in a dispersive
region after the first bend and a Faraday cup downstream of the 0 -degree port of the second bend.
For positron production, we would like to study tungsten foils of various thicknesses, approximately between 0.1 mm and 1 mm . Enough space ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) should also be provided to test high-power targets: rotating radiationcooled metal disks and, possibly in the future, liquid metal targets.

Positrons are produced with a wide spread in momentum and divergence. The efficiency of the collection system is critical to achieve reasonable intensities. A collection system based on quadrupole triplets has been proposed and needs to be tested. Alternatively, we should provide enough space $(\sim 50 \mathrm{~cm})$ for a DC solenoid or adiabatic matching device.

The intensity, position, profile, and momentum distribution of the positron beam can be measured with a microchannel plate placed downstream of the 0-degree port of the second bend. The same slits in the high-dispersion region can be used for both electrons and positrons. An emittance filter should also be installed to estimate the phase-space distribution of the positron beam. The background level from scattered photons needs to be measured to ensure the positron beam is detectable.

## 2 Design of beamline optics

The beamline optics is subject to several constraints. First of all, the geometry of the HRRL cell, including the position of the beam hole, limits the total length of the beamline to about 6 m .

The design is based on the following optical elements, which are available at the IAC:

- orange 'kiwi' dipoles: pole gap $1 \mathrm{in} ; 45^{\circ}$ bend; radius


Figure 1: Floor plan of the proposed beamline: HRRL power supplies (PS); linac with stand (HRRL); collection quadrupole or doublet ( Q 0 ); positron production target ( PT ); 45-degree dipoles ( D 1 and D 2 ); quadrupole triplets (QT1, QT2, and QT3); correction steerers (S1, S2, and S3); current monitor (CM); beam-position monitors (BPM); profile monitors (PM); Faraday cups (FC); secondary target (ST).
of curvature $\rho=290 \mathrm{~mm}$;

- Tesla Type 1 Quadrupoles: pole gap 1 in ; physical length 100 mm ; maximum gradient $19 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$;
- Tesla Type 2 Quadrupoles: pole gap 2 in ; physical length 150 mm ; maximum gradient $9 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$.

Some dispersion is needed in the beamline for two reasons: to measure the momentum distribution of electrons and positrons; and to have the capability to mimick a given longitudinal admittance with slits (for the CEBAF machine, $\left.\delta_{\max }=(\Delta p / p)_{\max }= \pm 2 \%\right)$. We also require a dispersion-free region $\left(D=0, D^{\prime}=0\right)$ downstream of the last steerer and in the secondary target. This is useful for reducing correlations between energy and position in electron, positron, and photon beams sent to the experiments. These dispersion requirements can be met by a double-bend achromat, which, in this case, includes two 45-degree bends.
For the electron beam, we assume a typical $10-\mathrm{MeV}$ linac emittance of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a focus with a $3 \sigma$ beam size of 3 mm at the positron production target. This implies amplitude functions equal to $\beta=(3 \mathrm{~mm})^{2} /(1 \mu \mathrm{~m})=9 \mathrm{~m}$ at the target in both planes. Of course, these assumptions need to be tested experimentally. We also require a focus of same size at the secondary target.

The properties of the positron source were simulated with a GEANT4 Monte Carlo assuming a $10-\mathrm{MeV}$ electron beam on a $0.5-\mathrm{mm}$ tungsten target [2]. The momentum distribution of positrons peaks at 1.7 MeV and it is relatively flat within $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{MeV}$. We choose 1.7 MeV as the design momentum for the beamline.
The spatial distribution of the outcoming positron beam is only slightly wider than that of electrons. For this design, we assume a focus (i.e., no correlation between positions and momenta) with $3 \sigma$ beam size of 4 mm .

For calculating transverse admittances, we assume the beamline elements, including the vacuum pipe, have a half aperture of 12 mm . We need a beamline admittance (which will be filled by the positrons) larger than the CEBAF admittance $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. We choose to aim at an admittance $A=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which can then be restricted with slits to mimick the CEBAF admittance.

In practice, to take both transverse and longitudinal constraints into account, we require the maximum beam size in both planes to be smaller than the half aperture $a$ of the beamline:

$$
\sqrt{\beta A+\left(D \delta_{\max }\right)^{2}}<a
$$

The positions of the correction steerers S2 and S3 are cho-

| Triplet | Element | Strength (T/m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QT1 | Q1 | +0.214 |
|  | Q2 | -0.059 |
|  | Q3 | -0.102 |
| QT2 | Q4 | +0.181 |
|  | Q5 | -0.204 |
|  | Q6 | +0.195 |
| QT3 | Q7 | -0.030 |
|  | Q8 | -0.073 |
|  | Q9 | +0.078 |

Table 1: Quadrupole settings for positrons at $1.7 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$.
sen so that the phase advance between the two is approximately $90^{\circ}$.

The beamline optics was designed using the MAD program.

Optics for the positron beamline is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The horizontal and vertical amplitude functions are plotted together with the horizontal dispersion function. Beam profiles are shown in Fig. 4. The settings for the 3 triplets ( 9 quadrupoles total) can be found in Table 1.

## 3 Diagnostics

Some diagnostic components will need to be acquired. A possible set of choices is shown in Table 2.
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Figure 2: Amplitude functions (left axis) and horizontal dispersion (right axis) in m .


Figure 3: Phase advances in $\mathrm{rad} /(2 \pi)$.


Figure 4: Beam profiles for $\varepsilon_{x}=\varepsilon_{y}=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Horizontal profiles are shown for both design momentum (black, solid) and for a momentum spread $\Delta p / p=2 \%$ (green, dotted).

| Component | Model | Features | Approx. unit price |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fast current transformer | Bergoz FCT-028 | inner diam. 28-mm | $\$ 2,000$ |
| Faraday cup | Radiabeam FARC-02-300 |  | $\$ 1,295$ |
| Emittance slits | Radiabeam EMTS-\#\#-\#\#\# | custom |  |
| Integrated transverse diagnostics | Radiabeam IBIS-02-VAC-OPT | YAG:Ce |  |
| Beam position monitors | Bergoz | S-band | $\$ 10,000$ (w/readout) |
| X-Y Steerers | Radiabeam STM-02-340-110 | length 30 mm | $\$ 1,250$ |
| Microchannel plates | Hamamatsu |  | $\$ 3,000$ (w/ pow. supply) |

Table 2: Diagnostic components.

